

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION**

**FORREST HILLS HOMEOWNERS
ASSOCIATION**
Plaintiff,

v.

IRA DAVIS,
Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§


Civil Action No. 3:15-CV-1956-M-BK

**ORDER ACCEPTING THE FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

The United States Magistrate Judge made Findings, Conclusions, and a Recommendation in this case. Defendant filed objections, and the District Court has made a de novo review of those portions of the proposed Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation to which objection was made. The objections are overruled and the Court **ACCEPTS** the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge except that this Court clarifies that the first word in the ninth line on page 1 of the Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation should be “Defendant” not “Plaintiff,” and notes that in the Objection, Defendant pleads that he is a citizen of Washington, not Texas.

The Court **CERTIFIES** that any appeal of this action would not be taken in good faith. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). In support of this finding, the Court adopts and incorporates by reference the Magistrate Judge’s Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation. *See Baugh v. Taylor*, 117 F.3d 197, 202 n.21 (5th Cir. 1997). Based on the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation, the Court finds that any appeal of this action would present no legal point of arguable merit and would, therefore, be frivolous. *Howard v. King*, 707 F.2d 215, 220 (5th Cir. 1983).

SO ORDERED this 7th day of August, 2015.


BARBARA M. G. LYNN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS